

The WarTech Nexus -

Are military structures fit enough?

Authors:

Bernhard Schulyok has research interests in Security Policy and Military Capability Development. He has written three handbooks and numerous individual articles in the journal “Truppendienst” and in the online journal “The Defence Horizon Journal”. He is also the National Director of the multinational platform: Military Capability Development Campaign (MCDC).

Lukas Grangl has research interests in Security Policy, International Politics, and Military Policy. He has published work on the application and implementation of modern control concepts (e.g. New Public Management). He is a member of the Reserve Forces of the Austrian Armed Forces. He works for a global tax consulting, auditing, and consulting company.

Markus Gruber has research interests in International Politics, Foreign Policy Analysis, Diplomacy, Systems Theory (in International Relations), and Conflict and Security analysis. He is a Member of the Reserve Forces of the Austrian Armed Forces and advises as a consultant on growth strategies, including business model innovation, M&A, and organizational development across various industries.

The views contained in this article are the authors’ alone and do not represent the views of Federal Ministry of Defence.

Abstract: While military institutions were key drivers of technological development in the 20th century, this role is increasingly shifting to private companies and start-ups. This shift poses challenges for military organizations in integrating civilian technologies and adapting to rapidly evolving innovation ecosystems. Therefore, it seems that armed forces must adapt their organizations and strategies to leverage innovations across multiple domains, ensuring effectiveness in complex threat environments.

Bottom-line-up-front: The military’s edge increasingly depends on integrating innovations and cultivating internal innovation cultures. This question arises particularly in times of peace and absent threats and is an important part of a strategy of military security: *Si vis pacem para bellum*. Exploring the WarTech Nexus there are strategic pathways provided to enhance military capabilities and leadership in an evolving, disruption-prone threat landscape.

Problem statement: How can militaries effectively integrate civilian technologies and adapt organizational structures to sustain strategic superiority in modern warfare?

So what?: Failure to adapt risks military obsolescence, leaving institutions unprepared to counter emerging threats and adversaries leveraging cutting-edge technologies, organizational structures and tactics. By embracing innovations and modernizing organizational structures, militaries can maintain strategic superiority, ensuring readiness and resilience in an era of rapidly evolving warfare.

“Intelligence is The Ability to Adapt to Change.” (Stephen Hawking)

The shifting innovation landscape positions private companies and start-ups as key drivers of technological development, challenging military institutions to integrate civilian technologies while transforming structures and governance. However, recognition of the necessity for transformation is still lacking in many areas. Maintaining hierarchical structures can be sensible but often proves slow and cumbersome. Modern technology enables real-time monitoring, which risks micromanagement. Therefore, a flexible structure—self-learning networks—is needed, allowing parts to assemble for acute challenges and later return to their original form or adopt new ones. Leadership quality must be situational, task-, and employee-dependent, requiring constant adjustment.

The speed of combat operations and AI-supported decision-making push humans to their cognitive and physical limits. Thus, a combination of measures is essential to maintain superiority. Modern technologies demand adjustments in combat techniques and tactics, enabling comprehensive strategic approaches. Complex scenarios require AI-supported decision-making. Synergy between humans and machines needs greater attention, acknowledging opportunities and risks.

Case studies, such as the Bundeswehr's Cyber Innovation Hub and the Israeli IDF's innovation model, illustrate strategies for fostering flexible, innovation-oriented organizational cultures and agile leadership systems. Strategic partnerships with the private sector and adaptive transformation processes are critical for addressing disruptive technological changes, including cognitive warfare and human enhancement.

Recommendations emphasize enabling armed forces to achieve continuous technological adaptation, enhance decision-making, and strengthen military-private sector collaboration, ensuring operational superiority in future conflicts.