

The Challenge of Autonomous Weapons for War Termination - Rethinking the Moral Costs of War

Author:

Lisa Maren Tragbar

Applied Ethics, War and Peace Ethics, Just War Theory

Publications on Cognitive Warfare, Human Enhancement, Ius Post Bellum and Punitive Justice

The views contained in this article are the author's alone and do not represent the views of Department of Philosophy, University of Vienna.

Abstract:

The narrative of 'clean warfare' through autonomous weapons systems promises a minimization of moral costs, in part through the technological ability for precise targeting and adherence to the principle of discrimination in bello. Even if this narrative holds, the use of autonomous weapons systems over time leads to a decoupling of moral costs. The focus increasingly shifts to the real costs of war as a 'clean' war becomes more of a technological war of attrition. This challenges Moellendorf's concept of moral costs ex bello, as war is no longer determined by ethical considerations but by the availability and affordability of autonomous weapons systems. As a result, only economically strong actors may be able to conduct 'clean' wars, shifting the discussion of just war from an ethical to a real-economic perspective.

Bottom-line-up-front:

The use of autonomous weapons systems requires a reassessment of moral costs and a more careful evaluation of the realistic chances of success ad bellum. It also underscores the need for a more thoughtful balancing between the principle of necessity ex bello and the moral costs ad bellum.

Problem statement:

The Just War theorist Darrell Moellendorf discusses the concept of moral costs in relation to the question of when wars should be terminated (ius ex bello). According to Moellendorf, wars must be ended when the anticipated moral costs ex bello disproportionately outweigh the war aims ad bellum.



While this argument holds in traditional warfare, it is challenged by the use of autonomous weapons systems. Ultimately, the question arises of how wars can still be justly ended in the age of autonomous warfare without abandoning Moellendorf's concept of moral costs.

So what?:

In light of the ex bello challenge posed by autonomous weapons systems, Just War theorists must reassess the ethical framework of war termination, balancing moral costs and technological realities.

Endnotes:

Blake, Michael, "The costs of war", in: Scheid, Don E. (ed.): *The Ethics of Armed Humanitarian Intervention*. (Cambridge University Press, 2014), 133–147.

Haque, Abdul A., "Killing with discrimination". In S. Bazargan & S. C. Rickless (Eds.), *The Ethics of War: Essays* (Oxford University Press, 2017), 185–202

Frowe, Helen, The Ethics of War and Peace. An Introduction, (New York: Routledge 2015²).

McCready, Doug: "Ending the War Right: Jus Post Bellum and the Just War Tradition", in: *Journal of Military Ethics*, 8 (1), (2009), 66–78.

Moellendorf, Darrel: "Two doctrines of jus ex bello", *Ethics* 125 (3), (2015), 653–673.

Moellendorf, Darrel: "Ending Wars", in: Lazar, Seth/Frowe, Helen (Eds.): *The Oxford Handbook of Ethics of War*. (Oxford: Oxford University Press 2018), 488–504.

Nagel, Thomas: "Really Good at Killing". *London Review of Books*, 38 (5), 3–4. (2016), <u>https://www.lrb.co.uk/the-paper/v38/n05/thomas-nagel/really-good-at-killing</u>

Rodin, David, "The War Trap: Dilemmas of Jus Terminatio", in: Ethics 125 (3) (2015), 674–695.

Orend, Brian, The Morality of War, (Peterborough: Broadview Press, 2006).

Taylor, Alan J. P., How Wars End, (London: Hamilton, 1985).

Michael Walzer, Just and Unjust Wars. An Argument With Historical Illustrations (New York: Basic Books, 1995^a).

Winner, Langdon, "Do artifacts have politics?" Daedalus 109, no.1 (1980), 121–136.